UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

In the Matter of		}			
(Briefly describe the properties or identify the person left)	y name and address)	Case No. 2	2-mj-2024		
ONE WHITE APPLE IPH	ONE WITH A BLACK CASE				
		,			
APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH WARRANT					
I, a federal law ent penalty of perjury that I ha property to be searched and give	we reason to believe that on the	ey for the government, re ne following person or pr	quest a search warrant and state under operty (identify the person or describe the		
See Attachment A.					
located in the East person or describe the property Please see Attachment B	to be seized):	Pennsylvania	_ , there is now concealed (identify the		
evidence of contrabance of property d	earch under Fed. R. Crim. P. 4 of a crime; d, fruits of crime, or other iten designed for use, intended for use be arrested or a person who	ns illegally possessed; use, or used in committin			
The search is relat	ed to a violation of:				
Code Section 18 USC § 922(g)	Offense Description Possession of a Firearm by a Felon				
The application is	based on these facts:				
Please see attached	d Affidavit of Probable Cause				
Continued on	the attached sheet.				
Delayed notice		nding date if more than 3 is set forth on the attach	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			/s/ Kyle Raguz		
			Applicant's signature		
		ATF	Special Agent Kyle Raguz		
			Printed name and title		
Sworn to before me and si	gned in my presence.				
Date: 12/28/2022		/s/ C	arol Sandra Moore Wells		
			Judge's signature		

Hon. Carole Sandra Moore Wells, US Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title

City and state: Philadelphia, PA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of	f Pennsylvania
In the Matter of the Search of (Briefly describe the property to be searched or identify the person by name and address) ONE WHITE APPLE IPHONE WITH A BLACK CASE)) Case No. 22-mj-2024))
SEARCH AND S	EIZURE WARRANT
To: Any authorized law enforcement officer	
An application by a federal law enforcement officer or of the following person or property located in the	astern District of Pennsylvania
Please see Attachment A.	
lescribed above, and that such search will reveal (identify the per Please see Attachment B. YOU ARE COMMANDED to execute this warrant of	
\Box in the daytime 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. \checkmark at any time	ne in the day or night because good cause has been established.
Unless delayed notice is authorized below, you must goerson from whom, or from whose premises, the property was property was taken.	ive a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the property taken to the taken, or leave the copy and receipt at the place where the
The officer executing this warrant, or an officer presers required by law and promptly return this warrant and invent	t during the execution of the warrant, must prepare an inventory ory to Carol Sandra Moore Wells (United States Magistrate Judge)
9 ()	the notification may have an adverse result listed in 18 U.S.C. cuting this warrant to delay notice to the person who, or whose stifying, the later specific date of
Date and time issued: 12/28/2022 10:49 am	/s/ Carol Sandra Moore Wells
	Judge's signature
City and state: Philadelphia, PA	Hon. Carol Sandra Moore Wells, US Magistrate Judge Printed name and title

AO 93 (Rev. 11/13) Search and Seizure Warrant (Page 2)

Return				
Case No.:	Date and time warrant executed:	Copy of warrant and inventory left with:		
22-mj-2024				
Inventory made in the presence of:				
Inventory of the property taken and name of any person(s) seized:				
Certification				
I declare under penalty of perjury that this inventory is correct and was returned along with the original warrant to the designated judge.				
Date:				
		Executing officer's signature		
		Printed name and title		

ATTACHMENT A

Description of the items to be searched

This warrant authorizes the search and forensic examination of the below listed cellular telephones for the purpose of identifying and seizing the electronically stored information described in Attachment B related to violations of Title 21, United States Code, Section 922(g).

1. One white Apple iPhone with a black case, confiscated from Nykee Stone at the time of his arrest at 5900 North Front Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, for VUFA violations which has been maintained in a secure location inside the Philadelphia Police Department since the time of its seizure on October 12, 2022.

ATTACHMENT B

Items to Be Seized

- 1. Address directory, logs of telephone numbers dialed, telephone numbers of incoming, outgoing, or missed calls, and the date, time, and length of those calls, text messages, voicemails (including date and time information regarding those voicemails);
- 2. Internet browsing activity and history, calendar entries, notes, memoranda, and digital documents, photographs and images, that relate to firearms and planning for unlawful criminal acts;
- 3. Any photographs, videos, and audio files;
- 4. Any text messages (SMS), multimedia messages (MMS), email messages, chats, or incoming and outgoing contacts;
- 5. Any social media messaging and shared photographs, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram Twitter, MySpace;
- 6. Any subscriber information, contact information to include names, addresses, telephone numbers, email addresses, social media addresses or other identifiers;
- 7. Any documents, spreadsheets, calendar, note, password or other database entries related to criminal activity;
- 8. Any system data or configuration information contained with the device, i.e. SD, SIM card; and
- 9. Proof of ownership/control of the cellular telephone.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEARCH OF ONE WHITE APPLE IPHONE WITH A BLACK CASE

Case No. 22-mj-2024

Filed Under Seal

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF AN APPLICATION FOR A SEARCH WARRANT

I, Kyle Raguz, being first duly sworn, hereby depose and state as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND AGENT BACKGROUND

- 1. I make this affidavit in support of an application for a search warrant under Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedures for a search warrant authorizing the search of certain property and examination of the contents of that property, that is a white Apple iPhone with a black case which was recovered by Philadelphia Police from the defendant, Nykee Stone, incident to his arrest on October 12, 2022 ("Target Phone"). The information to be searched is described in the following paragraphs and in Attachment A.
- 2. I am a Special Agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), and have been since November 2015. I am a "federal law enforcement officer" within the meaning of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 41(a)(2)(C), that is, a government agent engaged in enforcing the criminal laws and duly authorized by the Attorney General to request a search warrant. I am a graduate of the Criminal Investigator Training Program and the Special Agent Basic Training Program at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) in Glynco, Georgia. I am currently assigned to a specialized enforcement group, the ATF Violent Crimes Task Force, whose primary mission is to investigate those individuals and groups that are engaged in the commission of federal firearms and narcotics violations. I have personally

conducted, and assisted in, multiple narcotics investigations into Drug Trafficking Organizations (DTO's). As part of these investigations, I have handled Confidential Informants (CI's), observed and handled heroin, cocaine, crack-cocaine, methamphetamine, and marijuana, and authored and executed multiple search and arrest warrants.

- 3. This affidavit is intended to show merely that there is sufficient probable cause for the requested warrant and does not set forth all of my knowledge about this matter.
- 4. Based on my training and experience and the facts as set forth in this affidavit, there is probable cause to believe that violations of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) (possession of a firearm by a felon) have been committed by Nykee Stone. There is also probable cause to search the information described in Attachment A for evidence of these crimes further described in Attachment B.

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction to issue the requested warrant because it is "a court of competent jurisdiction" as defined by 18 U.S.C. § 2711. 18 U.S.C. §§ 2703(a), (b)(1)(A), & (c)(1)(A). Specifically, the Court is "a district court of the United States . . . that has jurisdiction over the offense being investigated." 18 U.S.C. § 2711(3)(A)(i).

PROBABLE CAUSE

- 2. On October 12, 2022, at approximately 12:20 p.m., officers from the Philadelphia Police Department saw Nykee Stone on an Instagram live video from the account "jefe_rook" in which Stone displayed a firearm sticking out of the waistband of his pants.
- 3. At approximately 1:30 p.m. that same day, Philadelphia Police officers saw Stone on the 100 Block of Widener Street in Philadelphia adjusting the front of his waistband before getting into the rear passenger seat of a Honda Civic. Officers Omlor and Del Ricci of the

Philadelphia Police Department followed the Civic in an unmarked vehicle for less than a block before they saw the Civic pull over. Stone then opened the car door and threw an object onto the sidewalk. Officer Del Ricci recovered the object: a Glock, model 23, .40 caliber semi-automatic pistol, bearing serial number BDNR814, loaded with 13 live rounds of .40 caliber ammunition. Officers then pulled over the Civic and arrested Stone. Further investigation revealed that Stone has prior felony convictions and thus was prohibited from being in possession of a firearm under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1).

- 4. Officers stopped the Honda Civic and discovered the driver was working for a rideshare company, whereby passengers use an application on their cell phone to request and pay for a ride in drivers' vehicles.
- 5. Based on my training and experience, I am aware that a wireless telephone (or mobile or cellular telephone) is a handheld wireless device used for voice and data communication through radio signals. These telephones send signals through networks of transmitter/receivers, enabling communication with other wireless telephones or traditional "land line" telephones. A wireless telephone usually contains a "call log," which records the telephone number, date, and time of calls made to and from the phone. In addition to enabling voice communications, wireless telephones offer a broad range of capabilities. These capabilities include: storing names and phone numbers in electronic "address books;" sending, receiving, and storing text messages and e-mail; taking, sending, receiving, and storing still photographs and moving video; storing and playing back audio files; storing dates, appointments, and other information on personal calendars; and accessing, conducting searches on and downloading information from the internet. Wireless telephones may also include global positioning system ("GPS") technology for determination the location of the device.

6. Based on my training and experience, I know that wireless telephones have capabilities that allow them to serve as a wireless telephone, digital camera, portable media player, GPS navigation device, and PDA. In my training and experience, examining data stores on devices of this type can uncover, among other things, evidence that reveals or suggests who possessed or used those devices.

ELECTRONIC STORAGE AND FORENSIC ANALYSIS

- 7. Based on my knowledge, training, and experience, I know that electronic devices can store information for long periods of time. Similarly, things that have been viewed via the internet are typically stored for some period of time on those devices. This information can sometimes be recovered with forensic tools.
- 8. Forensic evidence. As further described in Attachment B, this application seeks permission to locate not only electronically stored information that might serve as direct evidence of the crimes described on the warrant, but also forensic evidence that establishes how the telephone was used, the purpose of its use, who used it, and when. There is probable cause to believe that this forensic electronic evidence might be on the Target Phone because:
 - a. Data on the storage medium can provide evidence of a file that was once on the storage medium but has since been deleted or edited, or of a deleted portion of a file (such as a paragraph that has been deleted from a word processing file).
 - b. Forensic evidence on a device can also indicate who has used or controlled the device. This "user attribution" evidence is analogous to the search for "indicia of occupancy" while executing a search warrant at a residence.
 - c. A person with appropriate familiarity with how an electronic device works may, after examining this forensic evidence in its proper context, be able to draw

- conclusions about how electronic devices were used, the purpose of their use, who used them, and when.
- d. The process of identifying the exact electronically stored information on a storage medium that are necessary to draw an accurate conclusion is a dynamic process. Electronic evidence is not always data that can be merely reviewed by a review team and passed along to investigators. Whether data stored on a wireless telephone and similar devices is evidence may depend on other information stored on the electronic device and the application of knowledge about how the device behaves. Therefore, contextual information necessary to understand other evidence also falls within the scope of the warrant.
- e. Further, in finding evidence of how a device was used, the purpose of its use, who used it, and when, sometimes it is necessary to establish that a particular thing is not present on a storage mediums.
- 9. Nature of examination. Based on the foregoing, and consistent with Rule 41(e)(2)(B), the warrant I am applying for would permit the examination of the telephone consistent with the warrant. The examination may require authorities to employ techniques, including but not limited to computer-assisted scans of the entire medium, that might expose many parts of the device to human inspection in order to determine whether it is evidence described by the warrant.
- 10. Searching for evidence described in Attachment B may require a range of data analysis techniques. In some cases, agents and computer analysts may be able to conduct carefully targeted searches that can locate evidence without requiring a time-consuming manual search through unrelated materials that may be commingled with criminal evidence. In other

cases, however, such techniques may not yield the evidence described in the warrant. Criminals can mislabel or hide information, encode communications to avoid using key words, attempt to delete information to evade detection, or take other steps designed to frustrate law enforcement searches for information. These steps may require agents and law enforcement or other analysts with appropriate expertise to conduct more extensive searches, such as scanning storage area unrelated to things described in Attachment B, or perusing all stored information briefly to determine whether it falls within the scope of the warrant. In light of these difficulties, in searching the telephone, we intend to use whatever data analysis techniques appear necessary to locate and retrieve the evidence described in Attachment B.

11. *Manner of execution*. Because this warrant seeks only permission to examine devices already in law enforcement's possession, the execution of this warrant does not involve the physical intrusion into a premises. Consequently, I submit there is reasonable cause for the Court to authorize the execution of the warrant at any time in the day or night.

CONCLUSION

12. Based on the forgoing, I request that the Court issue the proposed search warrant authorizing the examination of the Target Phone described in Attachment A and the seizure of the items described in Attachment B, all of which is evidence of a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 922(g).

REQUEST FOR SEALING

13. I further request that the Court order that all papers in support of this application, including the affidavit and search warrant, be sealed until further order of the Court. These documents discuss an ongoing criminal investigation that is neither public nor known to all of the targets of the investigation. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal these documents

because their premature disclosure may give targets an opportunity to flee/continue flight from prosecution, destroy or tamper with evidence, change patterns of behavior, notify confederates, or otherwise seriously jeopardize the investigation.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kyle M. Raguz

Kyle M. Raguz Special Agent Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF)

Subscribed and sworn to before me on <u>December 28, 2022</u>

/s/ Carol Sandra Moore Wells

Hanarahla Caral Sandra Maara Walla

Honorable Carol Sandra Moore Wells UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE